

# New Hanover County Schools, NC Redistricting Study 2020

## Public Information Session Survey Report

Revised: 10/07/2019



#### **Public Information Session Survey Results**

#### Introduction

A Public Information Session was held on September 17, 2019 during which the progress of the New Hanover County Schools (NHCS) student redistricting study was made available to the public. The latest DRAFT Options developed by the committee, 3a and 3b, were displayed on large maps along with tables indicating how each met the guiding principles issued by NHCS. Approximately 200 community members attended the Public Information Session. The public was encouraged to provide their feedback regarding each boundary option for the committee's consideration. These questionnaires were accepted via an online survey until October 6, 2019.

The data that is presented as a result of this Public Information Session should be used as a tool to help facilitate the study. **It should not be used ALONE as a decision-making tool for the Redistricting Study.** The committee will utilize data from the information session (in this report), and will focus on the study guidelines as the primary criteria for developing the study's plan.

#### **Analysis Methodology**

Received online surveys were exported to an excel spreadsheet. The spreadsheet tracked the date the comment was received, the neighborhood and elementary school zone the respondent is associated with, and the respondent's comments on each option

In order to gain a better understanding of the responses, respondents were asked to provide the general attitude towards a comment based on the following categories: *Approve, Like, Neutral, Dislike* and *Oppose*. Respondents were also asked to provide a reason for concern if they did not support an option, in order to gain a better understanding of the nature of the objection. The prominent concern themes

were Enrollment, Neighborhood, Transportation, Feeder Pattern, Demographics, or N/A.

In order to gain a better understanding of where the majority of the respondents are coming from throughout the district, the respondents were asked "In which elementary school zone do you live?".

The table reflects that there were 702 total respondents to the survey, and the majority of feedback was provided by community members who live in the Ogden and Parsley Elementary school zones.

Live-In Elementary Zone	Total	Percent of
Live-in Elementary Zone	Respondents	Respondents
Alderman ES	16	2.28%
Anderson ES	3	0.43%
Bellamy ES	12	1.71%
Blair ES	19	2.71%
Bradley Creek ES	19	2.71%
Carolina Beach ES	9	1.28%
Castle Hayne ES	26	3.70%
College Park ES	5	0.71%
Forest Hills ES	3	0.43%
Holly Tree ES	41	5.84%
Murrayville ES	18	2.56%
Ogden ES	247	35.19%
Parsley ES	179	25.50%
Pine Valley ES	50	7.12%
Snipes ES	1	0.14%
Sunset Park ES	4	0.57%
Williams ES	3	0.43%
Winter Park ES	1	0.14%
Wrightsboro ES	1	0.14%
Wrightsville Beach ES	45	6.41%
Total	702	

Note: 65 respondents did not identify with an Elementary Zone.



1



#### **Option Attitude Summary Tables for All Submitted Comments**

The following provides a general summary on the community's overall attitude related to DRAFT Options 3a and 3b. Important points to consider as you read this set of tables:

- 1. The majority of responses that were submitted were in regard to the most suitable Option for the respondent's particular neighborhood / subdivision.
- 2. As is evident in the 'Total Respondents by Live-In Elementary School Zone' table on the previous page, the geographic distribution of respondents is uneven, so these summary tables should not be used as the ONLY defining factor or indicator over which Options are best suited for the entire district.
- 3. The committee needs to maintain focus on building a solution that best meets the Redistricting guidelines.

#### **Elementary Options Attitude Summary**

When asked 'What is your overall attitude toward an ES option?' the largest percentage of respondents said they approved ES Option 3a. ES Option 3b had the highest percentage of opposition.

Elementary Options Attitude Summary								
Option Attitude	ES Op	tion 3a	ES Opt	tion 3b				
Approve	429	61.3%	290	41.8%				
Like	31	4.4%	35	5.0%				
Neutral	75	10.7%	107	15.4%				
Dislike	35	5.0%	55	7.9%				
Oppose	130	18.6%	207	29.8%				
Total	700		694					

#### **Elementary Primary Concerns Summary Tables**

The table to the right shows what the primary concerns were for those that opposed a plan.

Elementary School Options Primary Concern Summary							
Primary Concern	ES Op	tion 3a	ES Op	tion 3b			
Enrollment Concern	12	2.4%	13	2.5%			
Neighborhood Concern	50	10.1%	78	14.9%			
Transportation Concern	58	11.8%	99	19.0%			
Feeder Pattern Concern	13	2.6%	20	3.8%			
Demographics Concern	49	9.9%	51	9.8%			
N/A	311	63.1%	261	50.0%			
Total	493		522				





#### Middle School Options Attitude Summary

When asked 'What is your overall attitude toward a MS option?' the largest percentage of respondents said they approved MS Option 3a. MS Option 3b had the highest percentage of opposition.

Middle School Option Attitude Summary								
Option Attitude	MS Op	tion 3a	MS Op	tion 3b				
Approve	444	61.2%	198	26.7%				
Like	45	6.2%	38	5.1%				
Neutral	56	7.7%	58	7.8%				
Dislike	45	6.2%	77	10.4%				
Oppose	136	18.7%	370	49.9%				
Total	726		741					

### **Middle School Primary Concerns Summary Tables**

The tables below show what the primary concerns were for those who opposed an option.

Middle School Options Primary Concern Summary							
Primary Concern	MS Op	tion 3a	MS Option 3b				
Enrollment Concern	9	1.8%	15	2.4%			
Neighborhood Concern	56	10.9%	117	19.1%			
Transportation Concern	73	14.2%	231	37.7%			
Feeder Pattern Concern	50	9.7%	58	9.5%			
Demographics Concern	24	4.7%	43	7.0%			
N/A	302	58.8%	149	24.3%			
Total	514		613				





<u>Options Attitude Summary by Respondents Live-In Elementary Zone</u>
The table below shows the elementary option 3a attitude summaries broken down by the live-in zones of the survey respondents.

Eleme	ntary Optio	n 3a Attitud	e Summary	by Respond	lent Live-In	Elementary	Zone	
Live-In Zone	Approve	Like	Neutral	Dislike	Oppose	Total	Percent Approve	Percent Oppose
Alderman ES	5	1	4	0	4	14	35.7%	28.6%
Anderson ES	1	1	1	0	0	3	33.3%	0.0%
Bellamy ES	6	0	2	0	4	12	50.0%	33.3%
Blair ES	10	1	1	4	3	19	52.6%	15.8%
Bradley Creek ES	3	0	5	4	6	18	16.7%	33.3%
Carolina Beach ES	4	0	2	2	1	9	44.4%	11.1%
Castle Hayne ES	18	1	7	0	0	26	69.2%	0.0%
College Park ES	1	2	1	0	1	5	20.0%	20.0%
Forest Hills ES	1	0	0	1	1	3	33.3%	33.3%
Holly Tree ES	33	1	2	0	5	41	80.5%	12.2%
Murrayville ES	12	2	4	0	0	18	66.7%	0.0%
Ogden ES	197	13	27	5	3	245	80.4%	1.2%
Parsley ES	92	7	14	8	54	175	52.6%	30.9%
Pine Valley ES	29	2	2	2	15	50	58.0%	30.0%
Snipes ES	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.0%	100.0%
Sunset Park ES	0	0	0	4	0	4	0.0%	0.0%
Williams ES	0	0	2	0	0	2	0.0%	0.0%
Winter Park ES	1	0	0	0	0	1	100.0%	0.0%
Wrightsboro ES	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.0%	0.0%
Wrightsville Beach ES	11	0	1	3	30	45	24.4%	66.7%





The table below shows the elementary option 3b attitude summaries broken down by the live-in zones of the survey respondents.

Elementary Option 3b Attitude Summary by Respondent Live-In Elementary Zone								
Live-In Zone	Approve	Like	Neutral	Dislike	Oppose	Total	Percent Approve	Percent Oppose
Alderman ES	5	1	5	1	3	15	33.3%	20.0%
Anderson ES	1	0	1	1	0	3	33.3%	0.0%
Bellamy ES	6	0	2	0	4	12	50.0%	33.3%
Blair ES	8	2	4	4	1	19	42.1%	5.3%
Bradley Creek ES	3	0	5	2	9	19	15.8%	47.4%
Carolina Beach ES	3	0	3	2	1	9	33.3%	11.1%
Castle Hayne ES	10	1	9	0	5	25	40.0%	20.0%
College Park ES	1	2	1	0	1	5	20.0%	20.0%
Forest Hills ES	0	0	0	1	2	3	0.0%	66.7%
Holly Tree ES	29	3	2	0	6	40	72.5%	15.0%
Murrayville ES	9	2	4	3	0	18	50.0%	0.0%
Ogden ES	80	15	50	21	70	236	33.9%	29.7%
Parsley ES	90	6	15	8	55	174	51.7%	31.6%
Pine Valley ES	28	3	2	2	15	50	56.0%	30.0%
Snipes ES	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.0%	100.0%
Sunset Park ES	0	0	0	4	0	4	0.0%	0.0%
Williams ES	1	0	2	0	0	3	33.3%	0.0%
Winter Park ES	1	0	0	0	0	1	100.0%	0.0%
Wrightsboro ES	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.0%	0.0%
Wrightsville Beach ES	10	0	1	4	30	45	22.2%	66.7%





The table below shows the middle school option 3a attitude summaries broken down by the live-in zones of the survey respondents.

Middle School Option 3a Attitude Summary by Respondent Live-In Elementary Zone									
Live-In Zone	Approve	Like	Neutral	Dislike	Oppose	Total	Percent Approve	Percent Oppose	
Alderman ES	3	0	0	1	11	15	20.0%	73.3%	
Anderson ES	2	1	0	0	0	3	66.7%	0.0%	
Bellamy ES	6	0	3	2	1	12	50.0%	8.3%	
Blair ES	6	1	4	5	2	18	33.3%	11.1%	
Bradley Creek ES	2	0	2	4	10	18	11.1%	55.6%	
Carolina Beach ES	4	1	4	0	0	9	44.4%	0.0%	
Castle Hayne ES	15	0	1	1	8	25	60.0%	32.0%	
College Park ES	1	3	1	0	0	5	20.0%	0.0%	
Forest Hills ES	0	0	0	1	1	2	0.0%	50.0%	
Holly Tree ES	27	5	3	1	1	37	73.0%	2.7%	
Murrayville ES	13	2	2	1	0	18	72.2%	0.0%	
Ogden ES	210	13	2	4	15	244	86.1%	6.1%	
Parsley ES	62	16	18	13	67	176	35.2%	38.1%	
Pine Valley ES	37	2	2	2	7	50	74.0%	14.0%	
Snipes ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Sunset Park ES	0	0	0	4	0	4	0.0%	0.0%	
Williams ES	1	0	0	0	2	3	33.3%	66.7%	
Winter Park ES	1	0	0	0	0	1	100.0%	0.0%	
Wrightsboro ES	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.0%	0.0%	
Wrightsville Beach ES	30	0	13	0	1	44	68.2%	2.3%	





The table below shows the middle school option 3b attitude summaries broken down by the live-in zones of the survey respondents.

Middle	Middle School Option 3b Attitude Summary by Respondent Live-In Elementary Zone								
Live-In Zone	Approve	Like	Neutral	Dislike	Oppose	Total	Percent Approve	Percent Oppose	
Alderman ES	3	0	0	2	10	15	20.0%	66.7%	
Anderson ES	1	1	1	0	0	3	33.3%	0.0%	
Bellamy ES	6	0	3	2	1	12	50.0%	8.3%	
Blair ES	4	3	3	5	3	18	22.2%	16.7%	
Bradley Creek ES	2	1	2	5	8	18	11.1%	44.4%	
Carolina Beach ES	4	1	4	0	0	9	44.4%	0.0%	
Castle Hayne ES	1	0	1	1	22	25	4.0%	88.0%	
College Park ES	0	4	1	0	0	5	0.0%	0.0%	
Forest Hills ES	0	0	1	1	0	2	0.0%	0.0%	
Holly Tree ES	25	6	3	2	1	37	67.6%	2.7%	
Murrayville ES	11	1	2	2	2	18	61.1%	11.1%	
Ogden ES	7	3	2	28	205	245	2.9%	83.7%	
Parsley ES	61	15	17	14	67	174	35.1%	38.5%	
Pine Valley ES	37	2	2	2	7	50	74.0%	14.0%	
Snipes ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Sunset Park ES	0	0	0	4	0	4	0.0%	0.0%	
Williams ES	0	0	1	0	2	3	0.0%	66.7%	
Winter Park ES	1	0	0	0	0	1	100.0%	0.0%	
Wrightsboro ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Wrightsville Beach ES	26	0	12	2	3	43	60.5%	7.0%	

#### Conclusion

The data presented in this summary report will be utilized as a tool to help the committee evaluate the options as it relates to feedback provided by the overall public. The committee will continue to be oriented on providing a recommendation that best meets the project objectives and adheres best to the redistricting guiding principles.

Although the information contained in this report is certainly helpful, the results of the Public Information Session should not be the only deciding factor on determining a recommendation, but will be utilized along with all of the other information and research that has been performed by the group.

